

VANISHING OF THE FIRST (σ, τ) -COHOMOLOGY GROUP OF TRIANGULAR BANACH ALGEBRAS

M. KHOSRAVI¹, M. S. MOSLEHIAN² AND A. N. MOTLAGH³

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define the first topological (σ, τ) -cohomology group and examine vanishing of the first (σ, τ) -cohomology groups of certain triangular Banach algebras. We apply our results to study the (σ, τ) -weak amenability and (σ, τ) -amenability of triangular Banach algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are two unital algebras with units $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $1_{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively. Recall that a vector space $\mathcal{M}$ is a unital $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -bimodule whenever it is both a left $\mathcal{A}$ -module and a right $\mathcal{B}$ -module satisfying

$$a(mb) = (am)b, 1_{\mathcal{A}}m = m1_{\mathcal{B}} = m \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, m \in \mathcal{M}).$$

Then $\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} ; a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in \mathcal{M}, b \in \mathcal{B} \right\}$ equipped with the usual 2×2 matrix-like addition and matrix-like multiplication is an algebra.

An algebra $\mathcal{T}$ is called a triangular algebra if there exist algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ and nonzero $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{T}$ is (algebraically) isomorphic to $\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$. For example, the algebra $\mathcal{T}_n$ of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$, may be viewed as a triangular algebra when $n > 1$. In fact, if $n > k$, we have $\mathcal{T}_n = \text{Tri}(\mathcal{T}_{n-k}, M_{n-k,k}(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{T}_k)$ in which $M_{n-k,k}(\mathbb{C})$ is the space of $(n-k) \times k$ complex matrices, cf. [1].

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangular algebra. If $1 = \begin{bmatrix} u & p \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix}$, and $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}$ is denoted by $a \oplus b$, then it can be easily verified that $e = u \oplus 0$ is an idempotent such that $(1 - e)\mathcal{T}e = 0$ but $e\mathcal{T}(1 - e) \neq 0$. Conversely, if there exists an idempotent $e \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $(1 - e)\mathcal{T}e = 0$ but

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46H25; Secondary 46L57, 16E40.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebra, triangular Banach algebra, Banach bimodule, (σ, τ) -derivation, first (σ, τ) -cohomology group, (σ, τ) -amenability, (σ, τ) -weak amenability.

$e\mathcal{T}(1-e) \neq 0$. Then the mapping $x \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} exe & ex(1-e) \\ 0 & (1-e)x(1-e) \end{bmatrix}$ is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{T}$ and $\text{Tri}(e\mathcal{T}e, e\mathcal{T}(1-e), (1-e)\mathcal{T}(1-e))$; cf. [1].

By a triangular Banach algebra we mean a Banach algebra A which is also a triangular algebra. Many algebras such as upper triangular Banach algebras [4], nest algebras [2], semi-nest algebras [3], and joins [6] are triangular algebras.

Following [1], consider a triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T}$ with an idempotent e satisfying $e\mathcal{T}(1-e) = 0$ and $(1-e)\mathcal{T}e \neq 0$. Put $\mathcal{A} = e\mathcal{T}e$, $\mathcal{B} = (1-e)\mathcal{T}(1-e)$ and $\mathcal{M} = (1-e)\mathcal{T}e$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are closed subalgebras of $\mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{M}$ is a Banach $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule, and $\mathcal{T} = \text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$. Conversely, given Banach algebras $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ and an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{M}$, then the triangular algebra $\mathcal{T} = \text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the norm given by $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{T}} = \|a\|_{\mathcal{A}} + \|m\|_{\mathcal{M}} + \|b\|_{\mathcal{B}}$. It is not hard to show that each norm $\|\cdot\|$ making $\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$ into a triangular Banach algebra is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{T}}$, if the natural restrictions of $\|\cdot\|$ to $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are equivalent to the given norms on $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{M}$, respectively. See also [12, 16]

The concept of topological cohomology arose from the problems concerning extensions by H. Kamowitz [11], derivations by R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose [9, 10] and amenability by B.E. Johnson [8] and has been extensively developed by A. Ya. Helemskii and his school [7]. The reader is referred to [7, 19] for undefined notation and terminology.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and σ, τ be continuous homomorphisms on $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is a Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. A linear mapping $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is called a (σ, τ) -derivation if

$$d(ab) = d(a)\sigma(b) + \tau(a)d(b) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

For example (i) Every ordinary derivation of an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ into an $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule is an $id_{\mathcal{A}}$ -derivation, where $id_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the identity mapping on the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. (ii) Every point derivation $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ at the character θ on $\mathcal{A}$ is a θ -derivation.

A linear mapping $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is called (σ, τ) -inner derivation if there exists $x \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $d(a) = \tau(a)x - x\sigma(a)$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}$). See also [13, 14, 17, 18] and references therein.

We denote the set of continuous (σ, τ) -derivations from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{E}$ by $Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ and the set of inner (σ, τ) -derivations by $B_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$. we define the space $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ as the quotient

space $Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})/B_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$. The space $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ is called the first $(\sigma - \tau)$ -cohomology group of $\mathcal{A}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{E}$.

From now on, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ denote unital Banach algebras with units $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $1_{\mathcal{B}}$, $\mathcal{M}$ denotes a unital Banach $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -bimodule and $\mathcal{T} = \text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$ is the triangular matrix algebra. In addition, $\mathcal{X}$ is a unital Banach $\mathcal{T}$ -bimodule, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = 1_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{X}1_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}} = 1_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{X}1_{\mathcal{B}}$, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = 1_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{X}1_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}} = 1_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{X}1_{\mathcal{A}}$. For instance, with $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{T}$ we have $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}} = 0$.

In this paper, we examine vanishing of the first (σ, τ) -cohomology groups of certain triangular Banach algebras. We apply our results to investigate the (σ, τ) -weak amenability and (σ, τ) -amenability of triangular Banach algebras.

2. VANISHING OF THE FIRST (σ, τ) -COHOMOLOGY GROUP

In this section, using some ideas of [5], we investigate the relation between the first (σ, τ) -cohomology of $\mathcal{T}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{X}$ and those of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}}$, respectively, whenever $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = 0$ in a direct method.

We start our work by investigating the structure of bounded (σ, τ) -derivations from a triangular Banach algebra into bimodules.

Let σ and τ be two homomorphisms on $\mathcal{T}$ with the following properties.

$$(2.1) \quad \tau(1 \oplus 0) = 1 \oplus 0, \tau(0 \oplus 1) = 0 \oplus 1;$$

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma(1 \oplus 0) = 1 \oplus 0, \sigma(0 \oplus 1) = 0 \oplus 1.$$

The above relation implies easily that $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ if we identify $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}$. So with no ambiguity, we can consider σ and τ as

homomorphisms on $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{B}$, when it is necessary. Now let $m \in \mathcal{M}$. If $\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) =$

$\begin{bmatrix} a' & m' \\ 0 & b' \end{bmatrix}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} a' & m' \\ 0 & b' \end{bmatrix} &= \sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a' & m' \\ 0 & b' \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a' & m' \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} a' & m' \\ 0 & b' \end{bmatrix} &= \sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a' & m' \\ 0 & b' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m' \\ 0 & b' \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Thus one can define $\sigma_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by $m \mapsto m'$.

To simplify the notation we denote $\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$ by σ . Thus $\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right)$ can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma(a) & \sigma(m) \\ 0 & \sigma(b) \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are homomorphisms, then $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \sigma_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ defined by $(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \sigma_{\mathcal{B}})(a, b) = (\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(a), \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(b))$ is a homomorphism. Conversely every homomorphism on $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ is of the form $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$ for some homomorphisms $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, respectively.

Applying our notation, let $\delta : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a bounded (σ, τ) -derivation. Then $\delta_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{X}1_{\mathcal{A}}$ defined by

$$\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = 1_{\mathcal{A}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}},$$

and $\delta_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{X}1_{\mathcal{B}}$ defined by

$$\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = 1_{\mathcal{B}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{B}}$$

are bounded (σ, τ) -derivations.

Moreover, the mapping $\theta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{X}1_{\mathcal{B}}$ given by

$$\theta(m) = 1_{\mathcal{A}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{B}}$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(am) &= 1_{\mathcal{A}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & am \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &= 1_{\mathcal{A}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &= 1_{\mathcal{A}}\tau(a)\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{B}} + 1_{\mathcal{A}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &= \tau(a)1_{\mathcal{A}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{B}} + 1_{\mathcal{A}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}}\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ (2.3) \quad &= \tau(a)\theta(m) + \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\sigma(m) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \theta(mb) = \theta(m)\sigma(b) + \tau(m)\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b).$$

Conversely, if δ_1 and δ_2 are bounded (σ, τ) -derivations of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ into $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}}$, respectively, and $\theta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}$ is any continuous linear mapping satisfies (2.3) and (2.4), then the mapping $D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) = \delta_1(a) + \delta_2(b) + \theta(m)$ defines a bounded (σ, τ) -derivation

of $\mathcal{T}$ into X , since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right)D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a' & m' \\ 0 & b' \end{bmatrix}\right) + D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right)\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} a' & m' \\ 0 & b' \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \tau\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right)(\delta_1(a') + \delta_2(b') + \theta(m')) + (\delta_1(a) + \delta_2(b) + \theta(m))\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} a' & m' \\ 0 & b' \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \tau\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right)\tau(1_{\mathcal{A}})\delta_1(a') + \delta_1(a)\sigma(1_{\mathcal{A}})\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} a' & m' \\ 0 & b' \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&\quad + \tau\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right)\tau(1_{\mathcal{B}})\delta_2(b') + \delta_2(b)\sigma(1_{\mathcal{B}})\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} a' & m' \\ 0 & b' \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&\quad + \tau\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right)\tau(1_{\mathcal{A}})\theta(m') + \theta(m)\sigma(1_{\mathcal{B}})\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} a' & m' \\ 0 & b' \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \tau(a)\delta_1(a') + \delta_1(a)\sigma(a') + \delta_1(a)\sigma(m') + \tau(b)\delta_2(b') \\
&\quad + \delta_2(b)\sigma(b') + \tau(m)\delta_2(b') + \tau(a)\theta(m') + \theta(m)\sigma(b') \\
&= \delta_1(aa') + \delta_2(bb') + \theta(am') + \theta(mb') \\
&= D\left(\begin{bmatrix} aa' & am' + mb' \\ 0 & bb' \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a' & m' \\ 0 & b' \end{bmatrix}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{AB}} = 0$, then we may assume that the linear mapping θ defined above is zero. Notice that, in this case, $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\sigma(m) = \tau(m)\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = 0$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}, m \in \mathcal{M}$.

We are now ready to provide our main theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{AB}} = 1_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{X}1_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$. Then*

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}) = H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{AA}}) \oplus H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{BB}}).$$

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{AB}} = 0$ and consider the linear mapping

$$\rho : Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{AA}}) \oplus H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{BB}})$$

defined by

$$\delta \mapsto (\delta_{\mathcal{A}} + N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{AA}}), \delta_{\mathcal{B}} + N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{BB}})).$$

If $\delta_1 \in Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}})$ and $\delta_2 \in Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}})$, then $D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) = \delta_1(a) + \delta_2(b)$ is a (σ, τ) -derivation from $\mathcal{T}$ into $\mathcal{X}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(D) &= (D_A + N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}}), D_B + N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}})) \\ &= (\delta_1 + N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}}), \delta_2 + N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}})). \end{aligned}$$

The last equation is deduced from the fact that

$$D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = 1_{\mathcal{A}}(\delta_1(a) + \delta_2(0))1_{\mathcal{A}} = \delta_1(a),$$

and

$$\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = 1_{\mathcal{B}}(\delta_1(0) + \delta_2(b))1_{\mathcal{B}} = \delta_2(b).$$

Thus ρ is surjective.

If $\delta \in \ker \rho$, then $\delta_{\mathcal{A}} \in N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}})$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{B}} \in N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}})$. Then $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \tau(a)x - x\sigma(a)$ for some $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}}$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = \tau(b)y - y\sigma(b)$ for some $y \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) &= \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a) + \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b) \\ &= (\tau(a)x - x\sigma(a)) + (\tau(b)y - y\sigma(b)) \\ &= (\tau(a) + \tau(m) + \tau(b))(x + y) - (x + y)(\sigma(a) + \sigma(m) + \sigma(b)) \\ &= \tau\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right)(x + y) - (x + y)\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $D \in N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})$.

It is straightforward to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) &= 1_{\mathcal{A}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}} + 1_{\mathcal{B}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}} + 1_{\mathcal{B}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &= 1_{\mathcal{A}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}} + 1_{\mathcal{B}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}}\sigma(a). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) = 1_{\mathcal{B}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{B}} - \tau(b)1_{\mathcal{B}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}},$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) &= \delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= 1_{\mathcal{B}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}}\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) - \tau\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{B}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}}.
\end{aligned}$$

These follow that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta - D)\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) &= \delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) - 1_{\mathcal{A}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}} - 1_{\mathcal{B}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{B}} \\
&= (\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) - 1_{\mathcal{A}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&\quad + (\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) - 1_{\mathcal{B}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{B}}) \\
&= 1_{\mathcal{B}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}}\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) + 1_{\mathcal{B}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}}\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&\quad - \tau\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{B}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}} - \tau\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{B}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}} \\
&= -\delta_{1_{\mathcal{B}}\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)1_{\mathcal{A}}} \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We therefore have $\delta - D \in N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})$, and so $\delta \in N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})$.

Conversely, let $\delta \in N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})$. Then there exists $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$\delta\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) = \tau\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right)x - x\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a) &= 1_{\mathcal{A}} \delta \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\
&= 1_{\mathcal{A}} \left(\tau \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) x - x \sigma \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\
&= \tau \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) 1_{\mathcal{A}} x 1_{\mathcal{A}} - 1_{\mathcal{A}} x 1_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= \delta_{1_{\mathcal{A}} x 1_{\mathcal{A}}}(a).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = \delta_{1_{\mathcal{B}} x 1_{\mathcal{B}}}(b)$. Hence $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}$ are inner and so $\delta \in \ker \rho$.

Thus $N_{(\sigma-\tau)}^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}) = \ker \rho$.

We conclude that

$$H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}) = \frac{Z_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})}{N_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})} = \frac{Z_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})}{\ker \rho} = H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}}) \oplus H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}}).$$

□

Corollary 2.2. $H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{M}) = 0$.

Proof. With $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{M}) = H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, 0) \oplus H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\mathcal{B}, 0) = 0.$$

□

Example 2.3. $H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}), \mathcal{A}) = 0$.

Example 2.4. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a left Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Then $H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbb{C}), \mathcal{L}) = 0$.

Corollary 2.5. $H_{(\sigma-\tau)}^1(\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{A}) = H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$.

Proof. With $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{A}$, we have $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = 0$, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}} = 0$. It then follows from Theorem 2.1, $H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{A}) = H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \oplus H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\mathcal{B}, 0) = H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$. □

Example 2.6. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{B}$ is an arbitrary unital Banach module, then $H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{A}) = H_{(\sigma,\tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = 0$, if σ and τ are ultra-weak automorphisms (see Corollary 3.4.6 of [20]).

3. (σ, τ) -WEAK AMENABILITY OF TRIANGULAR BANACH ALGEBRAS

With simple calculation we can observe that if $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{T}^*$ considered as $\mathcal{T}$ -bimodule, then $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}^*$, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}^*$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = 0$. Therefore by Theorem 2.1 we can conclude the following

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be unital Banach algebras and $\mathcal{M}$ be a unital Banach $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -bimodule. Then*

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^*) = H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*) \oplus H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^*).$$

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be unital Banach algebras and $\mathcal{M}$ be an unital Banach $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -bimodule. The triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T} = \text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$ is (σ, τ) -weak amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are both (σ, τ) -weak amenable.*

By induction one can easily prove the following proposition

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ are unital Banach algebras and $\mathcal{M}$ is a unital Banach $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -bimodule. If $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{T}^{(2n)}$ then*

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}^{(2n)}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{M}^{(2n)}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}} = 0.$$

Also if $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{T}^{(2n-1)}$ then

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}^{(2n-1)}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}^{(2n-1)}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = 0, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{M}^{(2n-1)}.$$

Now by Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 2.1, we immediately obtain the next result.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be unital Banach algebras and $\mathcal{M}$ be a unital Banach $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -bimodule. Then for all positive integers $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{(2n-1)}) = H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n-1)}) \oplus H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^{(2n-1)}).$$

4. (σ, τ) -AMENABILITY OF TRIANGULAR BANACH ALGEBRAS

In this section, by using some ideas of [12] we investigate (σ, τ) -amenability of triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T} = \text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$. We shall assume that the homomorphisms σ, τ on $\mathcal{T}$ have properties asserted in (2.1) and (2.2). We need some general observation concerning (σ, τ) -amenability of Banach algebras. The first is an easy consequence of the definition of (σ, τ) -amenability.

Proposition 4.1. [15, Proposition 3.3] *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be Banach algebras and σ, σ' be continuous endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ and τ, τ' be continuous homomorphisms of $\mathcal{B}$. If there is a continuous homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ is a dense subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}$ and $\tau\varphi = \varphi\sigma$ and $\tau'\varphi = \varphi\sigma'$, then (σ, σ') -amenability of $\mathcal{A}$ implies (τ, τ') -amenability of $\mathcal{B}$.*

Now, suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra, $\tau, \sigma : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are two continuous endomorphisms, and $\mathcal{I}$ is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\sigma(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}, \tau(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$. Then the map $\widehat{\tau}, \widehat{\sigma} : \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$ can be defined by $\widehat{\sigma}(a + \mathcal{I}) = \sigma(a) + \mathcal{I}, \widehat{\tau}(a + \mathcal{I}) = \tau(a) + \mathcal{I}$. It is not hard to show the following propositions.

Proposition 4.2. [15, Proposition 3.1] *Let $\mathcal{I}, \sigma, \tau$ be as above. If $\mathcal{A}$ is (σ, τ) -amenable then $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$ is $(\widehat{\sigma}, \widehat{\tau})$ -amenable.*

Proposition 4.3. [15, Proposition 3.2] *Let $\mathcal{I}, \sigma, \tau$ be as above and let σ, τ be idempotent homomorphisms. If $\mathcal{I}$ is (σ, τ) -amenable and $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$ is $(\widehat{\sigma}, \widehat{\tau})$ -amenable, then $\mathcal{A}$ is (σ, τ) -amenable.*

We now extend Theorem 4.1 of [12] as follows

Proposition 4.4. *Let σ and τ be two continuous idempotent homomorphisms on triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T} = \text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, 0, \mathcal{B})$. The triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T}$ is (σ, τ) -amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are (σ, τ) -amenable.*

Proof. At first suppose that $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ are (σ, τ) -amenable. It is easy to see that $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a closed ideal of $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix} / \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \simeq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is (σ, τ) -amenable therefore $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is (σ, τ) -amenable. Let $\varphi : \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix} / \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ be the natural isomorphism. Then $\varphi\tau = \widehat{\tau}\varphi$ and $\varphi\sigma = \widehat{\sigma}\varphi$. By Proposition 4.1 (σ, τ) -amenability of $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix}$ implies the $(\widehat{\sigma}, \widehat{\tau})$ -amenability of $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix} / \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Thus by utilizing Proposition 4.3, we deduce the (σ, τ) -amenability of the Banach algebra $\mathcal{T}$.

For the converse, suppose that $\mathcal{T}$ is (σ, τ) -amenable. It is obvious that $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{T}$. By Proposition 4.2, $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix} / \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is $(\widehat{\sigma}, \widehat{\tau})$ -amenable. One can easily

observe that there exists the natural isomorphism $\varphi : \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix} / \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix}$ and that $\varphi\hat{\sigma} = \sigma\varphi$ and $\varphi\hat{\tau} = \tau\varphi$. Therefore, by Proposition 4.1, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix}$, that is $\mathcal{B}$, is (σ, τ) -amenable. Similarly one can prove the (σ, τ) -amenability of $\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

Theorem 4.5. *Let σ and τ be two continuous idempotent homomorphisms on triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T} = \text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$. If the triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T}$ is (σ, τ) -amenable then $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are (σ, τ) -amenable. In particular, σ -amenability of $\mathcal{T}$ implies $\sigma(\mathcal{M}) = \{0\}$*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix}$ is (σ, τ) -amenable. Clearly, $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{T}$. Therefore, by Proposition 4.2, $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix} / \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is $(\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\tau})$ -amenable. Also there exists the natural isomorphism $\varphi : \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix} / \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix}$ such that $\varphi\hat{\sigma} = \sigma\varphi$ and $\varphi\hat{\tau} = \tau\varphi$. Hence $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix}$ is (σ, τ) -amenable. Similarly one can prove the (σ, τ) -amenability of $\mathcal{A}$.

Now suppose that the triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T}$ is σ -amenable. Set $\mathcal{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}^* & \mathcal{M}^* \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}^* \end{bmatrix}$.

The vector space $\mathcal{X}$ is a Banach space under the norm $\| \begin{bmatrix} f & h \\ 0 & g \end{bmatrix} \| = \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^*} + \|h\|_{\mathcal{M}^*} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^*}$.

The space $\mathcal{X}$ can be regarded as a Banach $\mathcal{T}$ -bimodule under the following $\mathcal{T}$ -module actions

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} f & h \\ 0 & g \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & bh \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} f & h \\ 0 & g \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & ha \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} f & h \\ 0 & g \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{X}$. Therefore $\mathcal{X}^* = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{**} & \mathcal{M}^{**} \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}^{**} \end{bmatrix}$ is a dual Banach $\mathcal{T}$ -bimodule.

Let $D : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{**} & M^{**} \\ 0 & B^{**} \end{bmatrix}$ be defined by $D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \widehat{\sigma(m)} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & m_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & m_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) &= D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 a_2 & a_1 m_2 + m_1 b_2 \\ 0 & b_1 b_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \widehat{\sigma(a_1 m_2 + m_1 b_2)} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma(a_1) \widehat{\sigma(m_2)} + \widehat{\sigma(m_1)} \sigma(b_2) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma(a_1) \widehat{\sigma(m_2)} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \widehat{\sigma(m_1)} \sigma(b_2) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \widehat{\sigma(m_1)} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma(a_2) & \sigma(m_2) \\ 0 & \sigma(b_2) \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad + \begin{bmatrix} \sigma(a_1) & \sigma(m_1) \\ 0 & \sigma(b_1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \widehat{\sigma(m_2)} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & m_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_2 & m_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&\quad + \sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & m_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix}\right) D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_2 & m_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore D is a σ -derivation. Hence there exists $\begin{bmatrix} F & H \\ 0 & G \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{X}^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \widehat{\sigma(m)} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) \begin{bmatrix} F & H \\ 0 & G \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} F & H \\ 0 & G \end{bmatrix} \sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma(a)H \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & H\sigma(b) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(4.1) \quad \widehat{\sigma(m)} = \sigma(a)H - H\sigma(b)$$

for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Choosing $a = 0, b = 0$ in (4.1) we conclude that $\widehat{\sigma(m)} = 0$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus $\sigma(\mathcal{M}) = \{0\}$. $\square$

Corollary 4.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be two unital Banach algebra and $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}, \tau : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be two continuous idempotent homomorphisms. The Banach algebra $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ is $\sigma \oplus \tau$ -amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is σ -amenable and $\mathcal{B}$ is τ -amenable.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix} \simeq \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$. Define $\varphi : \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix}$ via $\varphi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \tau(b) \end{bmatrix}$. Therefore $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix}$ is φ -amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are both φ -amenable, and this holds if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is σ -amenable and $\mathcal{B}$ is τ -amenable. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] W.-S. Cheung, *Mappings on triangular algebras*, Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Victoria, 2000.
- [2] K. R. Davidson, *Nest algebras*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series 191, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1988.
- [3] A. Donsig, B. E. Forrest and L. W. Marcoux, *On derivations of semi-nest algebras*, Houston J. Math. **22** (1996), no. 2, 375–398.
- [4] B. E. Forrest and L. W. Marcoux, *Derivations of triangular Banach algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **45** (1996), 441–462.
- [5] B. E. Forrest and L. W. Marcoux, *Weak amenability of triangular Banach algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), no. 4, 1435–1452.
- [6] F. L. Gilfeather and R. R. Smith, *Cohomology for operator algebras:joins*, Amer. J. Math. **116** (1994), 541–561.
- [7] A. Ya. Helemskii, *The homology of Banach and topological algebras*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [8] B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach Algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **127**, 1972.
- [9] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Cohomology of operator algebras I*, Acta Math. **126** (1971), 227–243.
- [10] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Cohomology of operator algebras II*, Ark. Mat. **9** (1971), 55–63.
- [11] H. Kamowitz, *Cohomology groups of commutative Banach algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1962), 352–372.
- [12] A. R. Medghalchi, M. H. Sattari and T. Yazdanpanah, *Amenability and weak amenability of triangular Banach algebras*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **31** (2005), no. 2, 57–69.

- [13] M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, σ -amenability of Banach algebras, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., (to appear).
- [14] M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, Automatic continuity of σ -derivations in C^* -algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **11** (2006), no. 5, 805–813.
- [15] M. S. Moslehian and A. N. Motlagh, (σ, τ) -amenability of Banach algebras, preprint.
- [16] M. S. Moslehian, On (co)homology of triangular Banach algebras, Topological algebras and its application, Banach Center Publ., Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, **67**, 2005.
- [17] M. S. Moslehian, Approximately vanishing of topological cohomology groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **318** (2006), 758–771.
- [18] M. S. Moslehian, Approximate $(\sigma - \tau)$ -contractibility, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **11** (2006), no. 5, 805–813.
- [19] V. Runde, Lectures on Amenability, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1774**, Springer 2002.
- [20] A. M. Sinclair and R. R. Smith, Hochschild Cohomology of von Neumann Algebras, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.

¹MARYAM KHOSRAVI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEACHER TRAINING UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN;

BANACH MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH GROUP (BMRG), MASHHAD, IRAN.

E-mail address: khosravi_m@saba.tmu.ac.ir

²MOHAMMAD SAL MOSLEHIAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 1159, MASHHAD 91775, IRAN;

CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN ANALYSIS ON ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES (CEAAS), FERDOWSI UNIV., IRAN.

E-mail address: moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir

³ABOLFAZL NIAZI MOTLAGH: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 1159, MASHHAD 91775, IRAN.

E-mail address: ab_ni40@stu-mail.um.ac.ir and niazimotlagh@gmail.com